

THE THEOREM OF MATIJASEVIC IS PROVABLE IN
PEANO'S ARITHMETIC BY FINITELY MANY AXIOMS

Hans Georg CARSTENS

1. The unsolvability of Hilbert's tenth problem was established by Matijasevic's theorem: «Enumerable sets are diophantine». The following equivalent form is provable in the first order version of Peano's arithmetic (PA):

For all Σ_1^0 -formulas A there are diophantine formulas B with the same free variables such that $PA \vdash A \leftrightarrow B$.

We show that finitely many axioms are sufficient to prove this schema. This could be done by a very careful inspection of the original proof, we will give, however, a short and simple argument from the «outside».

In 3. we indicate some consequences of the result.

2. Peano's arithmetic is the theory dealt with in Chapter 8 of [2]. We give inductive definitions of \exists_1^δ -formulas, i.e. diophantine formulas, and Σ_1^0 -formulas.

(1) \exists_1^δ -formulas:

- a) $Sx = y, x + y = z, x \cdot y = z, \neg Sx = y, \neg x + y = z, \neg x \cdot y = z$ are diophantine.
- b) If A, B are diophantine formulas then $A \vee B, A \& B$ are diophantine.
- c) If A is diophantine then $\exists xA$ is diophantine.

(2) Σ_1^0 -formulas:

- a) The formulas of (1)a) and $x < y, \neg x < y$ are Σ_1^0 .
- b) Exactly as in (1)b).
- c) If A is a Σ_1^0 -formula and $x \neq y$ then $\exists xA, \forall x(x < y \rightarrow A)$ are Σ_1^0 .

Theorem.

There is a finite set Γ of axioms of PA s.t. for all Σ_1^0 -formulas

A there are \exists_1^δ -formulas B with the same free variables and

$$\Gamma \vdash A \leftrightarrow B.$$

Proof.

Let P, L, R be a pairing function and decoding functions resp., $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus 13$.

$$\text{Sub}(l, m, n) := \begin{cases} P(O, e) & \text{if } m = P(O, n) \\ n & \text{if } m = P(O, k) \text{ \& } k \neq m \\ P(i, \text{Sub}(l, n, k)) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 12 \text{ \& } m = P(i, k) \\ P(\text{Sub}(l, n, i), \text{Sub}(l, n, k)) & \text{if } i > 12 \text{ \& } m = P(i, k) \end{cases}$$

We extend PA by definitions of P, L, R, Sub.

Consider the following formulas:

- (1) $B(P1v) \leftrightarrow SRLv = RRv$
- (2) $B(P2v) \leftrightarrow \neg SRLv = RRv$
- (3)
- ... analogously for $+$, \cdot , $<$
- (8)
- (9) $B(P9v) \leftrightarrow (B(Lv) \vee B(Rv))$
- (10) similar for $\&$
- (11) $B(P11v) \leftrightarrow \forall n < RLLvB(\text{Sub}(n, RRLv, Rv))$
- (12) $B(P12v) \leftrightarrow \exists n B(\text{Sub}(n, RLv, Rv))$

Interpret (1) ... (12) as a definition of B in \mathbb{N} . Hence B is a recursively enumerable set. By the representability theorem and Lemma 1 [2, p. 128] there is a Σ_1^0 -formula A such that

$$\forall n B(n) \Leftrightarrow PA \vdash A(n)$$

By the theorem of Matijasevič we have a \exists_1^δ -formula B such that

$$PA \vdash A \Leftrightarrow B$$

Therefore we assume that B in (1) ... (12) is a \exists_1^δ -formula. The following is derivable in our extension of PA:

- (13) $LPxy = x, RPxy = y$
 (14) $Sub(x, y, POy) = POx$
 (15) $Sub(x, y, POv) = POv; y \neq v$
 (16) $Sub(x, y, Piv) = PiSub(x, y, v) \quad 1 \leq i \leq 12$
 (17) $Sub(x, y, Pnv) = PSub(x, y, n)$
 $Sub(x, y, v) \leftarrow n > 12$
 (18) $n = Pvw \rightarrow n > 12$

Let Γ^* be the set of the formulas (1) ... (18). We show:
 $\forall A \Sigma_1^0$ -formula, $\exists a$ term with exactly the same free variables as in A such that

$$\Gamma^* \vdash B(a) \Leftrightarrow A$$

The proof is by induction on the definition of Σ_1^0 -formulas.

a) $A \equiv Sx = y$. We put $a = P1PPOxPOy$.

Now the following holds by Γ^* :

$$B(a) \Leftrightarrow B(P1PPOxPOy) \Leftrightarrow SRLPPOxPOy = RRPPOxPOy \quad (1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Sx = y \Leftrightarrow A$$

(13)

The rest of a) is analogously.

b) Let a_0, a_1 be terms inductively defined such that

$$\Gamma^* \vdash A_0 \Leftrightarrow B(a_0), B(a_1) \Leftrightarrow A_1. A \equiv A_0 \vee A_1.$$

We put $a \equiv P9Pa_0a_1$. Now the following holds by Γ^* :

$$B(a) \Leftrightarrow B(P9Pa_0a_1) \Leftrightarrow B(LPa_0a_1) \vee B(RPa_0a_1)$$

(9)

$$\leftrightarrow B(a_0) \vee B(a_1) \leftrightarrow A_0 \vee A_1 \leftrightarrow A.$$

(13)

Similar for $\&$.

- c) $A \equiv \forall x (x < y \rightarrow A_0)$. Let a_0 be s.t. $\Gamma^* \vdash B(a_0) \leftrightarrow A_0$ and put $a \equiv P11PPPOyPOz a_0 \underset{x}{[z]}$ where z is new.

Now the following holds by Γ^* :

$$B(a) \leftrightarrow B(P11PPPOyPOz a_0 \underset{x}{[z]})$$

$$\leftrightarrow \forall x (x < RLLPPPOyPOz a_0 \underset{x}{[z]})$$

(11)

$$\rightarrow B(\text{Sub}(x, RRLPPPOyPOz a_0 \underset{x}{[z]}, RPPPOyPOz$$

$$a_0 \underset{x}{[z]})$$

$$\leftrightarrow \forall x (x < y \rightarrow B(\text{Sub}(x, z, a_0 \underset{x}{[z]}))$$

(13)

$$\leftrightarrow \forall x (x < y \rightarrow B(a_0)) \leftrightarrow \forall x (x < y \rightarrow A_0) \leftrightarrow A.$$

(*)

Similar for $\exists x A_0$. We need the following fact:

$$(*) \Gamma^* \vdash \text{Sub}(x, z, a_0 \underset{x}{[z]}) = a_0$$

But this is trivial by the construction of the terms and (14)

... (18).

Now we shall give axioms without P, L, R, Sub .

By the representability theorem and the theorem of Mati-

jasevič there are \exists_1^δ -formulas $D_P, D_L, D_R, D_{\text{Sub}}$ representing P, L, R, Sub in PA.

We apply the operation $*$ of [2, p. 59] to formulas of our extended language and get formulas without P, L, R, Sub .

Let Γ be the set of the following formulas:

(1)* ... (18)* and in addition the existence and uniqueness conditions for P, L, R, Sub . Γ is finite.

Let Γ' be the extension of Γ by definitions of P, L, R, Sub . By [2, p. 59] the following holds:

$$(a) \Gamma' \vdash A \leftrightarrow A^*$$

$$(b) A \in L(\Gamma) \Rightarrow (\Gamma' \vdash A \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash A)$$

Hence

$\Gamma' \vdash (1) \dots (18)$ and therefore

$\forall A \Sigma_1^0$ -formula \exists a term with exactly the same free variables as in A such that

$$\text{and } \begin{array}{l} \Gamma' \vdash (B(a) \leftrightarrow A \\ \Gamma \vdash (B(a))^* \leftrightarrow A \end{array}$$

But $(B(a))^*$ is \exists_1^δ . This concludes the proof.

3. Now we indicate some consequences of the result in 2.

Let N be the set of axioms given in [2, p. 22]. We call a structure \mathcal{A} for the language of PA diophantine if $\mathcal{A} \models N +$ Matijasevič's theorem.

Corollary.

The class of diophantine structures is elementary.

Theorem.

Let \mathcal{A} be a non-standard model of PA. For all finite subsets $N \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \text{PA} \cap \Pi_3^0$ which imply the theorem of Matijasevič there is a diophantine substructure $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that (1) $\mathcal{L} \models \Gamma$ and (2) \mathcal{L} is not cofinal in \mathcal{A} .

Proof.

Let A be the conjunction of Γ . By contraction of quantifiers we have:

$$A \equiv \forall x \exists y \forall z (B(x, y, z); B \in \exists_1^\delta)$$

Let C be the following formula:

$$\forall z B(x, y, z) \ \& \ \forall y_1 < y \neg B(x, y_1, z)$$

$$\& \forall y \neg \forall z B(x, y, z) \rightarrow y = 0)$$

and $D(z, x, y)$:

$$(z)_0 = x \ \& \ \text{Seq}(z) \ \& \ \forall i < \text{lh}(z) \ C((z)_i, (z)_{i+1}) \ \& \ y = (z)_{\text{lh}(z)}.$$

We have to show that the iteration of the function defined by C is simultaneously bounded in \mathcal{A} .

Suppose not. Hence

$$\exists a \in |\mathcal{A}| \quad \forall b \in |\mathcal{A}| \ \exists c \in |\mathcal{A}|$$

$$(1) \ c \geq b$$

$$(2) \ \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ \mathcal{A} \models D(n, a, c)$$

Let α be a non-standard number of \mathcal{A} . The following holds:

$$\mathcal{A} \models \exists x \forall y \exists z \geq y \exists n < \alpha D(n, x, z)$$

By the least number principle:

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ \mathcal{A} \models \exists x \forall y \exists z \geq y \exists n < n D(n, x, z)$$

This is a contradiction.

Corollary.

PA is not finitely axiomatizable.

Universität Hannover

Hans Georg Carstens

REFERENCES

- [1] MATIJASEVIČ. V.: Enumerable sets are diophantine, *Soviet mathematics*, vol. 11 (1970).
- [2] SHOENFIELD, J.R.: *Mathematical logic*, Reading (Mass.) 1967.